

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,305	STARK ET AL.
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Donald Dowden, applicants' attorney.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 September 2006

Time: 10:10 am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

2 and 20-27

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Timothy C Vanoy

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested authorization to amend the 2nd line of the abstract by inserting --by flame spray pyrolysis-- between "oxides" and ","; amend the 2nd line of claim 2 by inserting --of the whole solvent-- between "75 %" and "." and to also cancel claims 20-27 via an examiner's amendment. Attorney granted authorization for entering these proposed examiner's amendments..